

~~SECRET~~

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director of Training

DATE: 7 February 1961

FROM : Chief of Station [REDACTED]

25X1A6A

25X1A9A

SUBJECT: Comments on [REDACTED] Study Regarding Merging of OC and OFC

1. It may serve some purpose to recall that the reason for this merger is to provide OC training for some ten JOT's who previously took the OFC. A question which arises from our study is, "Would we change the OC to the degree that we have if other than JOT students were not included in the program?" The answer is that we would.

2. A next most important question that arises, "Is the sequence of the proposed OC better than has been the case in the past OC's?" Yes, but this must be qualified. It is my belief that the old OC sequence, if handled by a competent staff, will produce about the same results. The proposal for OC #12 follows a more or less airtight pedagogical sequence. First we introduce the Clandestine Services; we then familiarize the student with

25X1

3. The first assumption, paragraph 7 a, is debatable. *No.*

25X1A9A

4. Paragraph 10 a. of [REDACTED] report assumes that the committee structure of the OC Staff is sacrosanct. It is not. The people necessary to run seminars need not derive solely from the committee concerned.

5. Paragraph 11 assumes that the staff workload will decrease after the sixth week. This is debatable, most of the staff feels that the reverse is true.

6. If all JOT's are run in the OC, will we be called on to run an OPM for them? If so, we should consider running the JOT's through the 10th week of the OC and not in a separate OPM. *?*

7. We would like the DTR to visit with us to discuss Lynn's paper in detail - if he feels, after reading it, that many questions remain to be answered.

25X1A9A

~~SECRET~~

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 : CIA-RDP78-04311A000100130002-7

Approved For Release 2003/04/17 : CIA-RDP78-04311A000100130002-7